# **Robust Absolute and Relative Pose Estimation of a Central Camera System from 2D-3D Line Correspondences**



### **Problem Statement**

- Estimate the absolute and relative pose of a camera system composed of general central projection cameras such as perspective and omnidirectional cameras
- Using **3D-2D line-pairs** (line features are an attractive alternative to point matches in urban scenarios due to the repetitive structures in road scenes)
- Useful for a wide range of computer vision applications like SLAM, 2D-3D fusion
- ✤ None of the existing methods estimate full absolute and relative poses in a multi-view system with omnidirectional and perspective cameras.

### **Camera Model**

• [1] represents central cameras as a projection onto the surface of a unit sphere SThe camera coordinate system is in the center of S and the Z axis is the optical axis of the camera which intersects the image plane in the principal point

Knowing the internal calibration of the camera allows us to work directly with spherical image points  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}$ The image plane maps to the surface of the sphere by:

 $\frac{g}{\|\mathbf{x}_q\|}$ 

- 1. lifting the image point onto the g surface:  $\mathbf{x}_g = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ a_0 + a_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + a_3 \|\mathbf{x}\|^3 + a_4 \|\mathbf{x}\|^4 \end{vmatrix}$
- 2. centrally projecting onto the unit sphere S:  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}} =$

A 3D world point X is projected into  $\mathbf{x}_{S} \in S$  by a  $\mathbf{RX} + \mathbf{t}$ simple central projection taking into account the pose:  $x_S$  =  $\|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t}\|$ 

<u>Note</u> the image point of a perspective camera can be represented on S by  ${f x}_K={f K}^{-1}{f x}$  and  $\|{f x}_{\cal S}={f x}_K/\|{f x}_K\|$ 

# **Projection of Lines and Camera Pose**

- 3D line is represented as L = (V, X)
- X: a point on the line
- We used **two** equations based on **two** different geometric observations
  - 1. the direction vector V of the 3D line and the unit **normal n** of the projection plane is **perpendicular**
  - 2. the vector from the camera center *C* to an arbitrary point X on the 3D line L is also lying on the projection plane, thus it is also perpendicular to the normal of the projection plane





For *N* central cameras

## Hichem Abdellali, Robert Frohlich, Zoltan Kato

Institute of Informatics, University of Szeged, Hungary

# **Proposed Solution**



•  $n = [n_1, n_2, n_3]$  : normal of the projection plane •  $\mathbf{V} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]$  : unit direction vector of the line

 $\mathbf{n}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{V}=0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  only rotation

 $|\mathbf{n}^{\top}(\mathbf{RX} + \mathbf{t}) = 0| \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} rotation$  $\rightarrow$  translation  $\mathbf{n}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{R} \mathbf{V} = 0$ 

#### **Cayley Parametrization of 3D Rotations**

- To get rid of the trigonometric functions, we use the Cayley transform to obtain a parametrization of the rotation matrix **R** in terms of 3 parameters  $\mathbf{b} = [b_1, b_2, b_3]^T$
- The correspondence  $\mathbf{R} \leftrightarrow [b]_{\times}$  is a one-to-one map between skew-symmetric matrices (represented as 3-vectors) and 3D rotations
- Finding b involves having the rotation matrix R
- **Line Back-projection Error on the Unit Sphere** *S*
- uses image line segments and corresponding 3D lines represented by its endpoint spherical coordinates (a, b) and (A, B) respectively
- $\delta(\mathbf{p})$  is the shortest orthodromic distance from a point  $\mathbf{p}$  on  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ to the back-projected 3D line (A, B)

**The error function**  $\frac{1}{\lambda}(\delta^2(\mathbf{a}) + \delta^2(\mathbf{b}))$  characterizes the line projection error as the distance between  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  and  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ 

#### Normalization

- 2D image data is normalized by definition as we work on the unit sphere
- 3D line data is transformed into a unit cube, by a translation to the origin and uniform scaling factor s
- The result  $pose(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t})$  needs to be denormalized

### **Minimal Solver**

The minimal set consists of 3 line-pairs, providing 3 equations for the rotation only



Provides the Rotation  $\mathbf{R}$   $\downarrow$ 

- The translation t is then obtained by back-substituting **R** into the linear system  $\mathbf{n}^{\top}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t}) = 0$  which can be solved by SVD decomposition. The solver might have several solutions, it is choosing the geometrically valid one
- We used the automatic generator of Kukelova et al. [2] for a fair comparison in Matlab with competing methods, we also used Kneip's generator [3] to produce a solver in C++ (an order of magnitude faster)

# **Evaluation on Synthetic Data**

- Benchmark dataset of 1000 2D-3D synthetic images
- **3D scene**: 3 arbitrary planes with 20 lines on each
- ✤ 2D side: we generated images of the scene by projecting the lines on perspective and omnidirectional cameras with 2378x1580 resolution and real camera parameters





 $s = \frac{1}{max(|h|,|w|,|d|)}.$ 

#### **Direct Least Squares Solver**

For n > 3 line-pairs

Take the sum of squares of the nonlinear system constructed from the minimal solver and then minimize  $E(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{c}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x})^{2}$ 

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{c}_{i} \ \mathbf{x})$$

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_{1}} \\ \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{b}_{1}} & \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}_{1}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{b}_{2}} & \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}_{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{b}_{3}} & \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}_{3}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

For each line pair  $d_{b_1} d_{b_2}$  and  $d_{b_3}$  can be expressed in terms of the coefficients (n, V)

- 2 + 1 cameras: omni-perspective and perspectiveomni configurations
- Noise: Corrupting one endpoint of the line (similarly in 2D and 3D), essentially adding a random number to each coordinate of the point
  - ♦ Perspective 7% and 15% 2D and 3D
  - ♦ Omnidirectional 7% and 10% 2D and 3D







This work was partially supported by the NKFI-6 fund through project K120366; "Integrated program for training new generation of scientists in the fields of computer science", EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-0002; the Research & Development Operational Programme for the project "Modernization and Improvement of Technical Infrastructure for Research and Development of J. Selye University in the Fields of Nanotechnology and Intelligent Space", ITMS 26210120042, co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund; Research & Innovation Operational Programme for the Project: "Support of research and development activities of J. Selye University in the field of Digital Slovakia and creative industry", ITMS code: NFP313010T504, co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund.

# **Real Data Results**

#### **Fusion** result shown as colorized pointcloud with estimated Omni (red) and perspective (green) camera positions illustrated

# References

[1] D. Scaramuzza et al. A Toolbox for Easily Calibrating Omnidirectional Cameras. IROS -2006 [2] Z. Kukelova et al. Automatic generator of minimal problem solvers. ECCV-2008. Springer. [3] L. Kneip. Polyjam, 2015 [online]. https://github.com/laurentkneip/polyjam.

### Acknowledgements





**Research Group**